	Case 2:21-cv-00378-JDP Document	8 Filed 08/22/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEVIN LEE McCULLOM,	Case No. 2:21-cv-00378-JDP (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
13	v.	FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
14	PATRICK WITHROW, et al.,	RESPONSE DUE WITHIN TWENTY-ONE
15	Defendants.	DAYS
16		
17	On June 12, 2022, I screened plaintiff's complaint, notified him that it did not state	
18	cognizable claims, and gave him thirty days to file an amended complaint. ECF No. 7. I also	
19	ordered plaintiff to submit, within thirty days, either the \$402 filing fee or a complete application	
20	for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Id. To date, plaintiff has not complied with that order.	
21	To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines.	
22	The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for failure to comply with its orders	
23	or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S.	
24	Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir.	
25	1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer	
26	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the June 12, 2022 order was	
27	returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents	
28	at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
		1

Case 2:21-cv-00378-JDP Document 8 Filed 08/22/22 Page 2 of 2

justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. *See Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Plaintiff will be given a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his failure to file an amended complaint and for his failure to either pay the filing fee or submit an application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an amended complaint and either pay the \$402 filing fee or submit a complete application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Clerk of Court is directed to send to plaintiff the court's form application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: <u>August 22, 2022</u>

JEREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE